

<b>OUTPUT – research or development work complete with results</b>	<b>1 Satisfactory</b> describer of activity	<b>2 Satisfactory</b> in the process of orientation	<b>3 Good</b> knows how to/applier	<b>4 Good</b> applies and deepens	<b>5 Excellent</b> Deepens and develops
<b>The thesis'</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- theoretical reasoning</li> <li>- central concepts and definitions</li> <li>- setting of objectives</li> </ul> <b>Methods and working procedures used in the thesis</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- reliability</li> <li>- ethics</li> <li>- innovation</li> <li>- methodical</li> <li>- critical</li> </ul> <b>The thesis' connection with working life</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- timeliness</li> <li>- usefulness</li> <li>- regional influence</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Theoretical reasoning is brief and its connection with the topic tenuous</li> <li>- poor use and defining of concepts</li> <li>- poorly defined topic</li> <li>- setting of objectives can be observed but is unclear</li> <li>- unable to manage and use methods and working procedures in a logical way</li> <li>- hardly benefits working life at all (the commissioning party)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- theoretical reasoning is brief and with logical connection with the topic</li> <li>- partially incomplete use and defining of concepts</li> <li>- defining of the topic mainly completed by the supervisor</li> <li>- objectives defined in a somewhat unclear way</li> <li>- able to manage and use methods and working procedures in a somewhat logical way</li> <li>- benefits working life to a satisfactory level (the commissioning party)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- theoretical reasoning is appropriate and clear</li> <li>- clear use and defining of concepts</li> <li>- clear defining of topic</li> <li>- appropriate use and grasp of methods and working procedures</li> <li>- benefits working life (the commissioning party) to a certain extent</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- a variety of theoretical reasoning used appropriate to the topic</li> <li>- analytical and justified use and defining of concepts</li> <li>- clear and realistic defining of objectives</li> <li>- justified use and management of methods and working procedures</li> <li>- benefits working life (the commissioning party) and can be applied in practice</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- theoretical reasoning based on critically selected knowledge combined in a variety of ways</li> <li>- use and defining of concepts is analytical and contains variety</li> <li>- defining of topic is clear and justified</li> <li>- excellent defining of objectives</li> <li>- innovative and independent management and use of methods and working procedures</li> <li>- greatly benefits working life (the commissioning party) and the work and its results are significant to working life (the commissioning party)</li> </ul>
<b>THESIS PROCESS</b>	<b>1 Satisfactory</b> describer of activity	<b>2 Satisfactory</b> in the process of orientation	<b>3 Good</b> knows how to/applier	<b>4 Good</b> applies and deepens	<b>5 Excellent</b> Deepens and develops
<b>The thesis processes'</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- methodical organisation</li> <li>- goal orientation</li> <li>- time-keeping</li> </ul> <b>The student's</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- commitment</li> <li>- sense of responsibility</li> <li>- cooperation skills</li> <li>- self-direction</li> </ul> <b>The student's development</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- professionalism</li> <li>- expertise</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- has not used or benefited from supervision</li> <li>- the student has not been able to work independently and he/she has required a lot of support during different stages, the thesis has not been completed according to schedule</li> <li>- fragmented and unorganised grasp and treatment of main topic content</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the student has required support in order to keep to schedule during different stages or has not managed to remain on schedule</li> <li>- professionalism and grasp of subject content can be observed.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the student has worked independently and cooperatively kept to schedule during different stages of the process</li> <li>- the student is able to assess his/her skills and knowledge development in relation to the competences set for each school</li> <li>- development in the student's professionalism and expertise can be observed in the work</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- student has worked independently and in a responsible manner</li> <li>- the student is able to assess his/her skills and knowledge development in relation to the competences set in each school in a variety of ways</li> <li>- the student is able to critically examine his/her expertise</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the student has worked independently and cooperatively</li> <li>- the student is able to assess his/her skills and knowledge development in relation to the competences set in each school and the field of study itself in a variety of ways</li> <li>- the student has attained expertise the main content area of his/her topic</li> </ul>
<b>WRITTEN AND SPOKEN REPORTING</b>	<b>1 Satisfactory</b> describer of activity	<b>2 Satisfactory</b> in the process of orientation	<b>3 Good</b> knows how to/applier	<b>4 Good</b> applies and deepens	<b>5 Excellent</b> Deepens and develops
<b>Written report</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- clarity</li> <li>- consistency</li> <li>- defining of concepts</li> <li>- name of work, descriptiveness of summary and abstract</li> <li>- use of images, tables and appendices</li> <li>- presentation of references</li> <li>- level and style of language</li> </ul> <b>Oral presentation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- presentation of work and results</li> <li>- expertise</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The text can be understood but is clumsy</li> <li>- disorganised structure</li> <li>- results of work have been verbally presented</li> <li>- One-sided use of sources and stating of references lacking</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- style of language mixed with breaks in style and use of lists</li> <li>- verbal presentation strongly relies on pre-prepared material</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the written report is organised having a pristine appearance and carefully checked, exact and clear language appropriate to academic texts</li> <li>- verbal report is illustrative, interactive and aimed at the target group</li> <li>- the work makes use of source material in languages other than Finnish</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the student is able to present results and conclusions based on reasoning, in an analytical and illustrative way</li> <li>- convincing verbal reporting that expresses the student's expertise</li> <li>- sources have been used in a variety of ways and critically</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Reporting demonstrates excellent language skills conveying the student's thought clearly, cohesively and accurately</li> <li>- the student is able to communicate results to different stakeholder groups successfully demonstrating an ability for critical thinking and further development in expertise</li> <li>- the work demonstrates an excellent and critical use of a variety of sources.</li> </ul>